UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,648	06/25/2003	Dennis Morgan	M1103.70154US00	4051
45840 WOLF GREEN	7590 08/21/2007 NFIELD (Microsoft Cor	EXAMINER		
C/O WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			SAN JUAN, MARTINJERIKO P	
BOSTON, MA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/603,648	MORGAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Martin Jeriko P. San Juan	2132	

Defense the Filings of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Martin Jeriko P. San Juan	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise				
(a) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a linar rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	÷ .				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33 and 37-39</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
<ol><li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li></ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A Land MOTalland the confliction is						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>		ech Z	_				
	GILBE	RTO BARRON JO	_				
	SUPERVISOR	Y PATENT EXAMINED OGY CENTER 2100	H				

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments to the claims raise issues not present during the examination process. The proposed amendments to overcome the 112, 2nd Paragraph rejection raise new issues and does not overcome the basis of the rejection. Applicant's Remarks filed after the Final Office Action present arguments based on these new issues that would require further consideration and/ or search by the Examiner.